

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Appl. No. : 10/749,756 Confirmation No. 9097  
Applicant : GROVER, Andrew S.  
Filed : December 30, 2003  
TC/A.U. : 2188  
Examiner : WALTER, Craig E.  
  
Docket No. : 42.P18169  
Customer No. : 08791

Mail Stop Amendment  
Commissioner For Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**CERTIFICATE OF MAILING/TRANSMISSION**  
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being submitted  
electronically via EFS Web on the date shown below.

/Michelle L. Evans/ 10/15/07  
Michelle L. Evans Date

**INTERVIEW SUMMARY**

Sir:

A telephonic interview with Examiner Craig E. Walter was held on September 12, 2007, wherein Applicant's representative Dermot G. Miller (Reg. Number 58,309) requested clarification as to the basis for a 35 U.S.C. §101 rejection of claims 22, 26 and 27. More particularly, Mr. Miller inquired as to whether the Office Action was suggesting a claim amendment which would address the concerns of Examiner Walter.

Examiner Walter suggested that Mr. Miller file after final arguments asserting utility for the claims in their present form for Examiner Walter to consider, whereupon Examiner Walter would determine if the rejection should either be removed or if an amendment might be necessary to overcome the rejection.

Respectfully submitted,  
**BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN, LLP**

Date: October 15, 2007

/Dermot G. Miller/

Dermot G. Miller  
Attorney for Applicants  
Reg. No. 58,309

1279 Oakmead Parkway  
Sunnyvale, CA 94085-4040  
(503) 439-8778